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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of analytic and multivalent functions to obtain some sufficient conditions of strlikeness for the class of non-Bazilevič functions.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $H_{p}(n)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k},(n, p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \cdots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and multivalent in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
A function $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$ is said to be in the subclass $S^{*}(p, n, \alpha)$ of multivalent starlike functions of order $\alpha$ in $U$ if it satisfies the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right|<p-\alpha,(z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha<p, p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obradovic ([1]) introduced a class of functions $f(z) \in H$ such that, for $0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}>0, z \in U
$$
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He called this class of function as "non-Bazilevič" type. Tuneski and Darus ([2]) obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the non-Bazilevič class of functions.

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha \geq 0,0 \leq \beta<p$, a function $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$ is in the class $\mathcal{N}(p, n, \alpha, \beta, g(z))$, if there exists a function $g$ belonging to the class $S^{*}(p, n):=S^{*}(p, n, 0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p\right|<p-\beta,(z \in U, p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, when $\alpha=0$, a function $f \in \mathcal{N}(p, n, 0, \beta):=S^{*}(p, n, \beta)$.
In the present paper, if $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$ satisfy anyone of the certain inequalities, we obtain the $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(p, n, \alpha, \beta)$.

To prove our main result, we need the following Lemma:
Lemma 1.1.1. ([3]). Let the function $w(z)$ be(nonconstant) analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=$ 0 . If $|w(z)|$ attsts its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0} \in U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ real and $k \geq 1$.

## 2 Main Results

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 2.1. Let $z \in U, \alpha \geq 0,0 \leq \beta<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$, and $g(z) \in S^{*}(p, n)$ satisfy anyone of the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right)\right|<p-\beta,  \tag{2.1}\\
\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}}\right|<\frac{p-\beta}{(2 p-\beta)^{2}},  \tag{2.2}\\
\left|\frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right.}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p}\right|<\frac{1}{2 p-\beta},  \tag{2.3}\\
\left\lvert\, \frac{\left.\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p} \right\rvert\,<1,}{\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1 \quad+\delta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p\right)\right|}\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
<\frac{(p-\beta)[\delta(2 p-\beta)+1]}{2 p-\beta} . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$
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then $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(p, n, \alpha, \beta, g(z))$
Proof. Let $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$ and $g(z) \in S^{*}(p, n)$. Define a function $w(z)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}=p+(p-\beta) w(z),(z \in U, \alpha \geq 0,0 \leq \beta<p, p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $w(z)$ is analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$. Then it follows from the above definition (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right)=\frac{(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}(z)}{p+(p-\beta) w(z)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{1}(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right)=(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}(z),  \tag{2.8}\\
F_{2}(z)=\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}}=\frac{(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(p+(p-\beta) w(z))^{2}},  \tag{2.9}\\
F_{3}(z)=\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p}=\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)} \frac{1}{p+(p-\beta) w(z)},  \tag{2.10}\\
\begin{aligned}
& F_{4}(z)= \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p}=\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{w(z)}, \\
& F_{5}(z) \quad=\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1+\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1+\delta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p\right) \\
&=\delta(p-\beta) w(z)+\frac{(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}(z)}{p+(p-\beta) w(z)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now from Lemma 1.1, suppose that there exist $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\max _{|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 .
$$

Therefore letting $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ in each of (2.8)-(2.12), we obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|F_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|(p-\beta) k e^{i \theta}\right| \geq p-\beta  \tag{2.13}\\
\left|F_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(p+(p-\beta) w\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2}}\right|=\frac{\left|(p-\beta) k e^{i \theta}\right|}{\left|\left(p+(p-\beta) e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}\right|} \geq \frac{p-\beta}{(2 p-\beta)^{2}},  \tag{2.14}\\
\left|F_{3}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{p+(p-\beta) w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\frac{\left|k e^{i \theta}\right|}{e^{i \theta}\left[p+(p-\beta) e^{i \theta}\right]}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2 p-\beta},  \tag{2.15}\\
\left|F_{4}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{z w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=|k| \geq 1, \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
\left|F_{5}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\delta(p-\beta) w\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{(p-\beta) z w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p+(p-\beta) w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| & =\left|\delta(p-\beta) e^{i \theta}+\frac{k(p-\beta) e^{i \theta}}{p+(p-\beta) e^{i \theta}}\right|  \tag{2.17}\\
& \geq \frac{(p-\beta)(\delta(2 p-\beta)+1)}{2 p-\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts our assumption (2.13)-(2.17), respectively. Therefore $|w(z)|<1$ hold true for all $z \in U$. Thus from (2.6) we have

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{g(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\alpha}-p\right|=(p-\beta)|w(z)|<p-\beta,(z \in U)
$$

which implies that $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(p, n, \alpha, \beta, g(z))$.
The Theorem 2.1 yields many interesting and important consequences. Some of these are given here. First of all, on setting $\alpha=0$, in Theorem 2.1 , we get
Corollary 2.1. Let $z \in U, 0 \leq \beta<p, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f(z) \in H_{p}(n)$ satisfy anyone of the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right)\right|<p-\beta  \tag{2.18}\\
\left|\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}+1\right)\right|<\frac{p-\beta}{(2 p-\beta)^{2}}  \tag{2.19}\\
\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p}\right|<\frac{1}{2 p-\beta},  \tag{2.20}\\
\left|\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \frac{\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p}\right|<1,  \tag{2.21}\\
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1+\delta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right)\right|<\frac{(p-\beta)[\delta(2 p-\beta)+1]}{2 p-\beta} . \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(p, n, \beta)$.
The first four results (2.18) to (2.21) are also given recently by Prajapat ([4]).
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