

## Extension Of the Conjecture Of Gratzer

Seema Bagora<sup>1</sup> C.L.Parihar<sup>2</sup> Madhu Tiwari<sup>3</sup>

1 Department Of Mathematics, Prestige Institute Of Technology, Indore India  
 e-mail: [bagoraseema@gmail.com](mailto:bagoraseema@gmail.com)

2 Indian Academy OF mathematics 15,Kaushaliyapuri, Chitawad Road, Indore – 452001, India  
 e-mail: [profparihar@hotmail.com](mailto:profparihar@hotmail.com)

3 Department Of Mathematics G.D.C.College, Ujjain India  
 e-mail: [madhuchinu@yahoo.co.in](mailto:madhuchinu@yahoo.co.in)

### Abstract

*In this paper we extend two results of Gratzer on Distributive Lattice.*

**Key Words:** *Lattice, Distributive lattice, Projective space, linear subspace,*

**MSC. 2010:** *06BXX,06DXX,06B25,06F20*

### 1. Introduction:

Gratzer conjectured that If a lattice has a representation of Type 2 then it is modular, and the linear subspaces of a projective space form a Modular geometric Lattice. . In this paper we establish this conjecture for distributive lattices.

We first give some terms which are useful in this paper.:

(1.1) **Representation of Type 2: [1, P.197]:** A representation  $\alpha : L \rightarrow \text{Part}(A)$  is called of *type 2* iff for all  $a, b \in L$  and  $x, y \in A$

$x \equiv y (a \vee b) \alpha$  iff there exist  $z_1, z_2 \in A$  such that

$x \equiv z_1 (a \alpha), z_1 \equiv z_2 (b \alpha),$  and  $z_2 \equiv y (a \alpha),$

(1.2) **Projective space [1,P.202]:** If  $A$  be a set and  $L$  be a collection of subset of  $A$ .

$(A, L)$  is called Projective space iff the following properties hold:

(i) Every  $l \in L$  has at least two elements.

(ii) For any two distinct  $p$  and  $q \in A$  there is exactly one  $l \in L$  satisfying  $p$  and  $q \in l$ .

(iii) For  $p, q, r, x, y \in A$  and  $l_1, l_2 \in L$  satisfying  $p, q, x \in l_1$  and  $q, r, y \in l_2$ . there exist  $z \in A$  and  $l_3, l_4 \in L$  satisfying  $p, r, z \in l_3$  and  $x, y, z \in l_4$ .

(1.3) **Linear subspace [1,P203]:** A set  $X \subseteq A$  is called linear subspace

iff  $p$  and  $q \in X$  imply that  $p + q \subseteq X$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are linear subspaces then define

$X + Y = U (x + y | x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y).$

### 2. Main Theorems:

**Theorem (2.1)** *A Lattice  $L$  has a representation of type 2, then it is Distributive.*

**Proof:** Let  $L$  have a representation  $\alpha : L \rightarrow \text{part}(A)$  of type 2, and  $a, b, c \in L, a \geq c$ .

Since, in any lattice  $((a \wedge b) \vee c \leq (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c))$ .

we have to prove

$$(a \wedge b) \vee c \geq (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c) \text{ . so let } x, y \in A \text{ and}$$

let  $x \equiv y((a \wedge (b \vee c))\alpha)$ , that is

$$x \equiv y(a\alpha) \text{ and } x \equiv y((b \vee c)\alpha) \text{ .}$$

As  $\alpha$  is a type 2 representation there exist  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  such that

$$x \equiv z_1(c\alpha) \text{ . } z_1 \equiv z_2(b\alpha) \text{ And } z_2 \equiv y(c\alpha)$$

Since  $c \leq a$  ,we obtain that that  $z_1 \equiv x(a\alpha)$  ,  $x \equiv y(a\alpha)$  and  $y \equiv z_2(a\alpha)$ ;

thus  $z_1 \equiv z_2(a\alpha)$

$$\text{Also } z_1 \equiv z_2(b\alpha), \text{hence } z_1 \equiv z_2((a \wedge b)\alpha) \text{ and } x \equiv y(a\alpha)$$

Hence  $x \equiv y(((a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c))\alpha)$ , implying  $(a \wedge b) \vee c \geq (a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c)$ .

**Theorem (2.2):** *The linear subspaces of a projective space form a Distributive geometric Lattice.*

**Proof:** Since the intersection of any number of linear subspaces is a linear subspace again, we have a closure space  $(A, -)$ . For  $X \subseteq A$ , the closure  $\bar{X}$  can be described as follows:  
 set  $X_0 = X, X_i = X + X, \dots, X_n = X_{n-1} + X_{n-1}, \dots$ ;

$$\text{then } \bar{X} = \bigcup (X_i \quad /i=0,1,2,\dots)$$

It follows immediately, that  $(A, -)$  is an algebraic closure space and so the linear subspaces form an algebraic lattice and for the linear subspaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

$$X \vee Y = \overline{X \cup Y}$$

If  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are linear subspaces and  $Z \subseteq X$  then

$$(X \wedge Y) \vee (X \wedge Z) \subseteq X \wedge (Y \vee Z) \text{ .}$$

Now let  $p \in X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$ , i.e.  $p \in X$  and  $p \in Y \vee Z$  Since  $p \in Y \vee Z = Y + Z$ , there exist  $p_y \in Y$  and  $p_z \in Z$  such that  $p \in p_y + p_z$ . from  $Z \subseteq X$ , it is clear that  $p$  and  $p_z \in X$ , if  $p = p_z$ , then  $p \in Z$  then so  $p \in (X \wedge Y) \vee (X \wedge Z)$ . if  $p \neq p_z$ , then  $p_y \in p + p_z \subseteq X$ .

thus  $p_y \in X \wedge Y$  and  $p_z \in (X \wedge Z)$  thus given lattice is distributive.

### References:

1. G.Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., newyork 1948.
2. R. Freese, G. Grätzer, and E. T. Schmidt, *On complete congruence . . . lattices of complete modular lattices*, International J. of Algebra and Computation 1 (1991), pp. 147–160.
3. G.Gratzer, Lattice theory. First concepts and distributive lattices. (W.H.Freeman and . Company San Francisco, 1971).
4. G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, Algebraic lattices as congruence lattices: The **m**-complete case, The Birkhoff meeting (1991, Darmstadt)