

On Unified Theorems Involving I-Function Transforms

Dr. A. M. Khan

Head and Professor, Deptt. of Mathematics
 J.I.E.T., Jodhpur, Rajasthan-342005, India
 E-Mail : khanarif76@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present paper deals with the unified Theorem which shows relationship between originals of related functions in form of I-function transforms. Many known & new results are also obtained as particular cases.

1. Introduction

The I-function which was introduced by Saxena [16] is an extension of Fox's H-function. On specializing the parameters, I-function can be reduced to almost all the known as well as unknown special functions.

The definition of I-function given by Saxena [16] is as follows :-

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(z) &= I_{p_i, q_i : r}^{m, n} [z] \\
 &= I_{p_i, q_i : r}^{m, n} \left[Z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C t(s) z^s ds \qquad \dots(1.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ \prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} s) \right\}}$$

p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), m, n are integers satisfying $0 \leq n \leq p_i$, $0 \leq m \leq q_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) r is finite $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_{ji}, \beta_{ji}$ are real and positive and a_j, b_j, a_{ji}, b_{ji} are complex numbers such that $\alpha_j (b_h + v) \neq \beta_j (a_h - 1 - k)$

with all necessary conditions for existence as given by Saxena [16].

In this paper the I-function transform is defined as

$$h(s) = \bar{I}[f(x); s] = \int_0^\infty I_{p_i, q_i, r}^{M, N} \left[s x \left| \begin{matrix} (c_j, \gamma_j)_{1, N} (c_{ji}, \gamma_{ji})_{N+1, P} \\ (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, M} (d_{ji}, \delta_{ji})_{M+1, Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(x) dx$$

where $f(x) \in A$ and A denotes the class of function for which

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} O\{x^\xi\}, & x \rightarrow 0 \\ O\{x^w, e^{-w_2 x}\}, & x \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

provided that existence conditions of I function satisfied.

2. Theorem 1

$$\text{If } h(s) = \bar{I} [f(x); s] = \int_0^\infty I_{p_i, q_i, r}^{m, n} \left[s x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(x) dx \quad \dots(2.1)$$

and $s^{-c} h(s^\sigma) = \bar{I} [g(x); s] = \int_0^\infty I_{p_i, q_i, r}^{M, N} \left[s x \left| \begin{matrix} (c_j, \gamma_j)_{1, N} (c_{ij} \gamma_{ji})_{N+1, p_i} \\ (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, M} (d_{ij} \delta_{ji})_{M+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(x) dx \quad \dots(2.2)$

then

$$g(x) = x^{c-1} = \int_0^\infty I_{p+Q, q+P}^{m+P-N, n+Q-M} \left[t x^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (d_j + c\delta_j, \sigma\delta_j)_{M+1, Q} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (c_j + c\gamma_j, \sigma\gamma_j)_{N+1, P} \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p} (d_j + c\delta_j, \sigma\delta_j)_{1, M} \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q} (c_j + c\gamma_j, \sigma\gamma_j, c_j)_{1, N} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t) dt \quad \dots(2.3)$$

provided that the integrals involved in (2.1) to (2.3) are absolutely convergent.

Proof :

In order to prove the above theorem we use following result, which can easily be obtained by result given by Jain [9, P. 293, Eq. (6)]

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{I} \left\{ x^{c-1} I_{p+Q, q+P}^{m+P-N, n+Q-M} \left[t x^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (d_{ji} + c\delta_{ji}, \sigma\delta_{ji})_{M+1, Q_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (c_{ji} + c\gamma_{ji}, \sigma\gamma_{ji})_{N+1, p_i} \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} (d_j + c\delta_j, \sigma\delta_j)_{1, M} \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} (c_j + c\gamma_j, \sigma\gamma_j)_{1, N} \end{matrix} \right. \right]; s \right\} \\ & = s^c I_{p_i, q_i, r}^{m, n} \left[t x^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad \dots(2.4) \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\sigma = 0$

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^q B_j \beta_j > 0$$

$$|\arg(t)| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda \pi$$

$$\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j \gamma_j - \sum_{j=1}^P \gamma_j + \sum_{j=1}^M \delta_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^Q D_j \delta_j > 0, |\arg(s)| < \frac{1}{2} \lambda' \pi$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{a_j - 1}{\alpha_j} \right\} + M + 1 \leq j \leq Q \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{d_j + c\delta_j - 1}{\delta_j} \right\} - \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{d_j}{\delta_j} \right\} \\ & < \text{Re} < \sigma \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left\{ \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right\} + N + 1 \leq j \leq P \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{c_j + c\gamma_j}{\gamma_j} \right\} - \max_{1 \leq j < N} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{c_j - 1}{\gamma_j} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1), we get

$$s^{-c} h(s^\sigma) = s^{-c} \int_0^\infty I_{p_i, q_i, r}^{m, n} \left[t s^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t) dt \quad \dots(2.5)$$

Now by using equation (2.4), we obtain

$$s^{-c} h(s^\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \bar{I} \left\{ x^{c-1} I_{p+Q, q+P}^{m+P-N, n+Q-M} \left[t x^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (d_{ji} + c\delta_{ji}, \sigma\delta_{ji})_{M+1, Q_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (c_{ji} + c\gamma_{ji}, \sigma\gamma_{ji})_{N+1, P_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right\}$$

On Unified Theorems Involving I-Function Transforms

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left. \begin{array}{l} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} (d_j + c\delta_j, \sigma\delta_j)_{1, M} \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} (c_j + c\gamma_j, \sigma\gamma_j)_{1, N} \end{array} \right] f(t) dt \\
 = & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left\{ x^{c-1} I_{p+Q, q+P}^{m+P-N, n+Q-M} \left[tx^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} (d_{ji} + c\delta_{ji}, \sigma\delta_{ji})_{M+1, Q_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} (c_{ji} + c\gamma_{ji}, \sigma\gamma_{ji})_{N+1, P_i} \end{array} \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} (d_j + c\delta_j, \sigma\delta_j)_{1, M} \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} (c_j + c\gamma_j, \sigma\gamma_j)_{1, N} \end{array} \right] I_{P_i, Q_i, r}^{M, N} \left[sx \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_j, \gamma_j)_{1, N} (c_{ji}, \gamma_{ji})_{N+1} \\ (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, M} (d_{ji}, \delta_{ji})_{M+1, Q} \end{array} \right. \right] dx \right\} f(t) dt
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

On comparing (2.2) & (2.6) after changing the order of integration with all conditions mentioned with the theorem, we can easily get (2.3).

3. Special Cases

(i) If we put $r = 1$ in the main theorem, we get known result for H-function given by Agarwal [1] as

$$h(s) = H\{f(x); s\} = \int_0^\infty H_{p_i, q_i; 1}^{m, n} \left[sx \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, q_i} \end{array} \right. \right] f(x) dx \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$s^{-c} h(s^\sigma) = H\{f(x); s\} = \int_0^\infty H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[sx \left| \begin{array}{l} (c_j, \gamma_j)_{1, p} \\ (d_j, \delta_j)_{1, q} \end{array} \right. \right] g(x) dx \tag{3.2}$$

then
$$g(x) = x^{c-1} \int_0^\infty \left\{ I_{p+Q, q+P}^{m+P-N, n+Q-M} \left[tx^{-\sigma} \right] f(t) dt \right. \tag{3.3}$$

(ii) If we set $M = m = 1, N = n = 0, P_i \& p_i = 0, Q_i \& q_i = 1$ we obtain new result, given as

$$\text{If } h(s) = H\{f(x); s\} = \int_0^\infty \beta^{-1} (sx)^{b/\beta} e^{-x^{1/\beta}} \cdot f(x) dx \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$s^{-c} h(s^\sigma) = H\{f(x); s\} = \int_0^\infty \delta^{-1} (sx)^{d/\delta} e^{-x^{1/\delta}} \cdot g(x) dx \tag{3.5}$$

then

$$g(x) = x^{c-1} \int_0^\infty \left\{ H_{1, 1}^{1, 0} \left[tx^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{array}{l} (d, \sigma\delta) \\ (b, \beta) \end{array} \right. \right] f(t) dt \right. \tag{3.6}$$

(iii) By using relation of H function with general Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function

[2, P.27, eq. 11)]

$$\phi(z, p, \eta) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(\eta + n)^p} Z^n = \bar{H}_{2, 2}^{1, 2} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{l} (0, 1, 1)(1 - \eta, 1; p) \\ (0, 1)(-\eta, 1; p) \end{array} \right. \right] \tag{3.7}$$

We get

$$\text{If } h(s) = \phi\{f(x); p, \eta; s\} = \int_0^\infty \phi(-sx, p, \eta) f(x) dx \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$s^{-c}h(s^\sigma) = \phi\{g(x); p', \eta'; s\} = \int_0^\infty \phi(-sx, p', \eta') g(x) dx \quad \dots(3.9)$$

then

$$g(x) = x^{c-1} \int_0^\infty \overline{H}_{4,4}^{1,3} \left[tx^{-\sigma} \left| \begin{matrix} (0,1;1)(1-\eta,1,p)(-\eta'+c,\sigma;p') \\ (0,1)(-\eta,1,p)(1-\eta'+c,\sigma',p') \end{matrix} \right. \right] f(t) dt \quad \dots(3.10)$$

provided all integrals are absolutely convergent.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, S.M., Some Unified theorems involving two different \overline{H} -function transforms, Ganita Sandesh, Vol. 22, No. 2, (2008), 169-176.
2. Erdelyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F. and Tricomi, F.G., Higher Transcendental Functions, McGraw Hill, New York., Vol. I (1953)
3. Gupta, K.C. and Agrawal, S.M., Some unified theorems involving \overline{H} -function transforms, Ganita Sandesh, 2(1) (1988), 11-16.
4. Garg. M. and Gupta, V., On unified integrals involving the generalized Legendre associated function, the general class of multivariable polynomials and the \overline{H} -function, J. Raj. Acad. Phy. Sci., 3(4) (2004), 305-316.
5. Garg, M. and Mishra, R., On finite integrals involving the \overline{H} -function, general class of polynomials and exponential function, Ganita Sandesh, 18(1) (2004), 9-16.
6. Gupta, K.C. and Agrawal, S.M., Some unified theorems involving \overline{H} -function transforms and H-function transforms, J. Rajasthan Acad. Phy. Sci, 7(3) (2008), 343-350.
7. Inayat-Hussian, A.A., New properties of hypergeometric series derivable from Feynman integrals : II, A generalization of the H-function, J. Phy. A : Math. Gen..., 20 (1987), 4119-4128.
8. Jain, R. and Agrawal, R., A study of unified operators and the \overline{H} -function transform, Proc. of the 6th Int. Conf. SSFA, 6(2005), 69-78.
9. Jain, R., Some single and double integrals involving \overline{H} -functions, J. Rajasthan Acad. Sci., 4(4) (2005), 291-300.
10. Khan, A.M., "Exponential Distribution with Hypergeometric function IJC Vol. 1(2), 252-255 (2011).
11. Khan A.M. "On certain Laplace Transformation and Unified theorems Involving I-Functions as Kernel", IJC, Vol. I (3), 318-323 (2011).
12. Khan A.M., "On the ratio of exponentiated exponential Hypergeometric function & Gamma random variables" GJPAM, Vol 7(3), 227-231 (2011).
13. Khan, A.M., On Weyl fractional integral Operators and I-function, IJMSEA Vol 6, No. (2), 2012.
14. Khan, A.M., Meromorphic p-Valent functions defined by convolution and integral operator involving I-function, ARADIJ, Vol. 2, No. 1, 2011, 01-08.

On Unified Theorems Involving I-Function Transforms

15. Srivastava H.M. and Buschman R.G., "Mellin convolutions and H-function Transformations, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 6 (2) 331-343 (1976).
16. Saxena V.P., Proc. Nat. Acad. Science, India 52(A), 366 (1982).
17. Srivastava, H.M., Gupta, K.C. and Goyal, S.P., The H-functions of One and Two Variables with Applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, (1982).
18. Saxena, R.K. and Gupta, N., Some Abelian theorems for distributional \overline{H} -function transformation, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25(1994), 869-879.
19. Vaishya, G.D. Renu J. and Verma R.C., "Certain Properties of I-Function", Proc. Nat. Acad. Science. India 59(A)11, (1989).
20. Waggas Galib Atshan and S.R. Kulkarni" Meromorphic p-valent. Functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution and Integral operator" journal of Indian Acad. Math. Vol. 29 (2) 409-423 (2007).