American J. of Mathematics and Sciences Vol. 3, No -1 ,(January 2014) Copyright © Mind Reader Publications ISSN No: 2250-3102

# **ON CENTER OF FINITELY GENERATED LOCALLY (-1,1) RINGS**

K.Jayalakshmi Assistant Professor in Mathematics, J.N.T.University Anantapur College of Engg. J.N.T.University Anantapur. Anantapur.(A.P) INDIA. jayalakshmikaramsi@gmail.com

C.Manjula Department of Mathematics, J.N.T.University Anantapur College of Engg. J.N.T.University Anantapur. Anantapur.(A.P) INDIA. <u>man7ju@gmail.com</u>

**ABSTRACT**: A simple finitely generated locally (-1,1) ring must be an associative field.

### 2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 17D20

**KEY WORDS :** Locally (-1,1) ring, nilpotent ideal, simple ring.

**INTRODUCTION :** Hentzel and smith [1] studied simple locally (-1,1) nil rings and showed that a simple locally (-1,1) nil ring of char.  $\neq$  2,3 must be associative. Hentzel [1] studied properties of nil potent ideals in semi simple (-1,1) rings which are nil. We concentrate mainly on the result of Hentzel [1] and prove that a simple finitely generated locally (-1,1) ring must be an associative field.

A ring is a (-1,1) ring if it is satisfies the conditions:  $0 \equiv A(x,y,z) = (x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y).$ ... (1)

$$0 \equiv B(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (x, z, y).$$
(2)

A ring is locally (-1,1) if the subring generated by any two of its elements is (-1,1). For example, both (-1,1) rings and alternative rings are locally (-1,1). In a nonassociative ring *R*, we define (x,y,z) = (xy)z - x(yz) and [x,y] = xy - yx for all  $x,y \in R$ . A ring *R* is said to be simple if whenever *A* is an ideal of *R* then either A = R or A = 0. By the center *Z* of *R* we mean that the set of all elements *z* in *N* such that [z,R] = 0 i.e.,  $Z = \{z \in N / [z,R] = 0\}$ . That is *C* represents set of all elements which commutes with all elements in the ring and *c* will always means and elements taken from *C*. We use the following identities which hold in locally (-1,1) ring char.  $\neq 2,3$ , which were proved by Hentzel [1]. Whereas the commutative center *C* is defined as  $C = \{c \in R / [c,R] = 0\}$ .

$$0 \equiv C(x, y, z) = (x, y, yz) - (x, y, z)y.$$
(3)

$$0 \equiv D(x, y, z, w) = (x, yz, w) + (x, wz, y) - (x, z, w)y - (x, z, y)w.$$
(4)

$0 \equiv E(x, y, z) = (x, y^2, z) - (x, y, yz + zy).$	(5)
$0 \equiv F(x,y,y',z) = (x,yy'+y'y,z) - (x,y,y'z+zy') - (x,y',yz+zy). \qquad \dots (6$	)
$0 \equiv G(x, y, z) = [x, yz] + [y, zx] + [z, xy].$	(7)
$0 \equiv H(x, y, z) = [x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]].$	(8)
$0 \equiv I(x, y, z, w) = (xy, z, w) - (x, yz, w) + (x, y, zw) - x(y, z, w) - (x, y, z)w.$	(9)
$0 \equiv J(x, y, z) = [x, (y, z, x)] + [x, (z, y, x)].$	(10)
$0 \equiv K(x, y, z) = [x, (y, y, z)] + [z, (y, y, x)].$	(11)
$0 \equiv L(x, y, z) = [x, (y, y, z)] - 3[y, (x, z, y)].$	(12)
$0 \equiv M(x, y, z) = [xy, z] - x[y, z] - [x, z]y - 2(x, y, z) - (z, x, y).$	(13)
$0 \equiv N(x, y, z, w) = (xy, z, w) + (x, y, [z, w]) - x(y, z, w) + (x, z, w)y.$	(14)
$0 \equiv O(x, y, z, w) = ([x, y], z, w) - ([z, w], x, y) - [x, (y, z, w)] + [y, (x, z, w)].$	(15)
$0 \equiv P(x, y, z, w) = [x, (y, z, w)] - [y, (z, w, x)] + [z, (w, x, y)] - [w, (x, y, z)].$	(16)
$0 \equiv Q(x, y, c) = (x, y, c) + (y, x, c).$	(17)
$0 \equiv R(c, x, y) = (c, x, y) - 2(y, x, c).$	(18)
$0 \equiv S(x, y, c) = 3(x, y, c) - [x, y]c + [x, yc].$	(19)

If *S* is a subset of a locally (-1,1) ring *R*, by *S*<sup>*c*</sup> we mean  $\{x / 2^i 3^i x \in S \text{ for some } 0 \le i, j\}$ . It is easily shown *S*  $c \cdot T^c \subseteq (ST)^c$  and  $(S^c)^c = S^c$ . We call a set *S* fat if  $S^c = S$ .

We need the following theorem proved by kleinfeld.

**THEOREM 1:** In any (-1,1) ring (x,y,(x,x,y)) = (y,x,(x,x,y)) = ((x,x,y),x,y) = (x,x,y)[x,y] = 0. **PROOF :** The proof of the theorem can be found in [4, Lemmas 1,2].

**LEMMA 1:**  $A \subseteq \{x \setminus 3^i x \in \text{additive subgroup generated by the set of all <math>(y, y, r)$  for all  $y, r \in R\}$ . **PROOF :** Let  $M = \{x \setminus 3^i x \in \text{additive subgroup generated by the set of all <math>(y, y, r)$  for all  $y, r \in R\}$ .  $(R, R, R) \subseteq M$  by [1, Lemma 2]. To show M is an ideal, by  $0 \equiv I$  it is only necessary to show  $x(y, y, r) \in M$  for all x, y, r. This follows from N(x, y, y, r) - C(x, y, r).

If *R* is a locally (-1,1) ring and  $k \in R$ , define  $T_a : R \to R$  by  $rT_k = rk$  (right multiplication by *k*).  $T_k$  is an element of the associative ring of all endomorphism on the abelian group (R,+). Let  $T_R$  = the subring of endomorphism on (R,+) generated by  $\{T_k \mid k \in R\}$ . Let  $I = (R,R,R)^c$ . *I* is an ideal of *R* and  $I \subseteq \{(x,x,R) \mid x \in R\}^c$  Lemma 1. Let  $T_1$ = the ideal of  $T_R$  generated by  $\{T_k \mid k \in I\}$ . We shall now derive the following identities.

**THEOREM 2:** Let *R* be a finitely generated locally (-1,1) ring, then  $T_i$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $T_R$ .  $[R,R] \subseteq C$ . ... (20) (C,C,R) = (C,R,C) = (R,C,C) = 0. ... (21)

## ON THE CENTER OF FINITELY GENERATED LOCALLY (-1,1) RINGS

 (x,x,C) = 0. ... (22)

 (x,y,c)c' = (x,c'y,c). ... (23)

 C is a commutative associative subring of R.
 ... (24)

 (vi) (x,x,y)c = (x,x,cy). ... (25)

**PROOF**: We prove equation (20) from equation (8).

0 = [[R,R],R] + [[R,R],R] + [R,R],R]

 $0 = [[R,R],R] \text{ by char } \neq 3 \text{ we obtain } [R,R] \subseteq C.$ (21) follows from  $0 \equiv Q$  and  $0 \equiv R$ .

(21) follows from 0 = Q and

(22) follows from  $0 \equiv Q$ .

(23) follows from  $0 = N(c',y,x,c) - Q(x,c'y,c) + c' \cdot Q(y,x,c)$  and(ii).

(24) follows from (21) and  $0 \equiv M$ .

(25) follows from  $0 = 2D(x,c,y,x) + R(c,xy,x) - R(c,y,x) \cdot x + C(c,x,y) - B(c,x,xy) + B(c,x,y) \cdot x + 2B(x,x,y) \cdot c - 2B(c,cy,x).$ 

The proof of Theorem (1) begins.  $\blacklozenge$ 

**LEMMA 2:** (a)  $(C,R,R) + (R,R,C) \subseteq C$ .

(b) (C,R,[R,R]) = ([R,R],R,C) = 0.

**PROOF**: From [1, Lemma 5] we have  $(C,R,R) \subseteq C$ . Since (x,y,c) = (z,y,x) - R(c,y,x), by char.  $\neq 2$ ,  $(R,R,C) \subseteq C$ . To prove (b), from [1, Corollary 1] we have (C,R,[R,R]) = 0. To second part is from ([x,y],r,c) = (c,r,[x,y]) - R(c,r,[x,y]).

**LEMMA 3:** Let W = (R, R, C) then  $(R, R, W^c) \subseteq W^i$ .

**PROOF :** This is proved by induction. Since  $W \subseteq C$  by Lemma 2,  $(R, R, W^1) \subseteq W^1$ , and the result is true for i = 1. We now show  $(R, R, W^r) \subseteq W^r$  and  $(R, R, W^s) \subseteq W^s$  implies  $(R, R, W^{r+s}) \subseteq W^{r+s}$ .  $(R, R, W^rW^s) \subseteq (R, W^r, RW^s) + (R, W^r, W^s)R + (R, R, W^s)W^r$  by  $0 \equiv D \subseteq (R, W^r, R)W^s + 0 + (R, R, W^s)W^r$  by (23) and (21)  $\subseteq W^{r+s}$  by induction. This finishes the poof of Lemma 3. If  $S \subseteq R$ , let (S)# = ideal of R generated by S.

**LEMMA 4:**  $(W^{i})# = W^{i} + W^{i}R$ .

**PROOF**: It is sufficient to show that  $W^i + W^i R$  is an ideal of R.  $(W^i + W^i R)R \subseteq W^i R + W^i \cdot R^2 - (W^i, R, R) \subseteq W^i R + (R, R, W^i)$  by  $0 \equiv R \subseteq W^i + W^i R$ .  $R(W^i + W^i R) \subseteq RW^i + R(RW^i) \subseteq RW^i + (R, R, W^i) \subseteq W^i + W^i R$ . Therefore  $W^i + W^i R$  is an ideal of R.

**LEMMA 5:**  $(W^i)$ #  $\cdot$   $(W^j)$ #  $\subseteq$   $(W^{i+j})$ #.

**PROOF**: We do this proof in two parts. First  $W^i \cdot (W^j) \# = W^i (W^j + W^j R) \subseteq W^{i+j} + W^{i+j} R$  by (21). Second  $W^i R \cdot (W^j) \# \subseteq W^i \cdot R(W^j) \# + (W^i, (W^j) \#, R) \subseteq W^i (W^j) \# + W^i (W^j) \# \cdot R \subseteq$ 

 $(W^{i+j})$ # by the first part.  $\blacklozenge$ 

**LEMMA 6:** If *R* is generated by a set of *n* elements *G*, then  $W^{n+1} = 0$ . **PROOF :** We do this proof in three parts. First:  $(C,R,R) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{p \in G \\ p \in G}} (C,g,R)$ 

 $2(c,xy,r) = (c,xy + yx,r) + (c,[x,y],r) = (c,xy + yx,r) \text{ by } (20) \text{ and } (21) = (c,x,yr + ry) + (c,y,xr + rx) \text{ by } 0 \equiv F = 2(c,x,yr) + 2(c,y,xr) \text{ by } (20) \text{ and } (21).$ Second:  $(C,a,R)(C,a,R) \equiv 0.$  $(C,a,R)(C,a,R) \subseteq (C,(C,R)a,R) \text{ by } (23) \subseteq (C,(C,a,aR),R) \text{ by } 0 \equiv C = 0 \text{ by Lemma 1 and } (21).$ Third: By  $0 \equiv R, 2W \subseteq (C,R,R)$ . Thus  $2^{n+1}W^{n+1} \subseteq (C,R,R)^{n+1}$ . We will show  $(C,R,R)^{n+1} = 0$ .

$$(C,R,R)^{n+1} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (C,x_i,R)$$
, where  $x_i \in G$  by the first part. In each product  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (C,x_i,R)$  at least two of the  $x_i$ 

are identical as there are n+1  $x_i$ 's taken from a set G containing n elements. By the second part  $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (C, x_i, R) = 0$ .

We have shown  $W^{n+1} = 0$ . Let  $\langle W^i \rangle = ((W^i)\#)^c$ . For each *I*,  $\langle W^i \rangle$  is an ideal of *R*, and from Lemma 5 we have  $\langle W^j \rangle \subseteq \langle W^{i+j} \rangle$ .

**LEMMA 7:**  $I^2 \subseteq \langle W^1 \rangle$ .

**PROOF :** This proof takes four steps: (7.1),(7.2),(7.3) and (7.4).  $(a,a,x^2) = (a,ax + xa,x)$  by  $0 \equiv E = 2(a,a,x)x + (a,[a,x],x)$  by  $0 \equiv C \cdot 2(a,a,bc) = (a,a,bc + cb) + (a,a,bc + cb)$  by (i) and (iii). Combining these two statements gives use 2(a,a,bc) = 2(a,a,b)c + 2(a,a,c)b + (a,[a,b],c) + (a,[a,c],b). ... (7.1) We now show:  $[R,I] \subseteq \langle W \rangle$  ... (7.2)  $3R([a,c],a,b) \in W$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $[R,(R,R,R)] \subseteq W$  and thus  $[R,I] \subseteq W$ .  $c \in I$  implies  $(a,a,c) \in \langle W \rangle$  ... (7.3)

 $(a,a,c) = [c,a]a - [ca,a] + M(c,a,a) + B(a,c,a) \in \langle W \rangle.$  (7.4)

Let  $c \in I$ . By (7.1) 2(a,a,bc) = 2(a,a,b)c + 2(a,a,c)b + (a,[a,b],c) + (a,[a,c],b); (a,[a,b],c) and (a,[a,c],b) are in  $\langle W \rangle$ 

by (7.3). The remaining term 2(a,a,b)c must also be in W. We have shown  $(a,a,b)I \subseteq \langle W \rangle$  and thus  $I^2 \subseteq W$ .

**LEMMA 8:**  $(I, I, W^i) \subseteq \langle W^{i+1} \rangle$ .

**PROOF** : The proof of Lemma 8 takes four steps.
 ... (8.1)

 [(a,a,b),bc] = [(a,a,b)c,b] = [(a,a,cb),b] = -[(a,a,b),cb] ... (8.1)

 By  $0 \equiv G$ , (vi) and  $0 \equiv K$ . Therefore [(a,a,b),bc] = 0.
 ... (8.2)

 ((a,a,b),b,c) = 0.
 ... (8.2)

 3((a,a,b),b,c) = [(a,a,b),b]c - [(a,a,b),bc] + S((a,a,b),b,c) = 0 by  $0 \equiv J$  and (8.1).
 ... (8.3)

If  $c \in I((a,a,b),c,z) = -(a,a,c),b,c)$  by (8.2)  $\in (\langle W \rangle, b,c)$  by (7.3). Hence  $((a,a,b),c,W^i) \subseteq (\langle W \rangle, R, \langle W^i \rangle) \subseteq \langle W^{i+1} \rangle$ . We have now shown  $(I,I,W^i) \subseteq \langle W^{i+1} \rangle$ ; this completes the poof of Lemma (8).

LEMMA 9:  $\langle W^i \rangle I \cdot I \subseteq W^{i+1}$ . PROOF :  $(W^i) \# I \cdot I \subseteq (W^i) \# \cdot I^2 + ((W^i) \#, I, I)$   $\subseteq + (W^i, I, I) + (W^i, R, I, I)$  by Lemmas (4),(5) and (7).  $\subseteq \langle W^{i+1} \rangle + W^i(R, I, I) + (W^i, I, I)R + (W^i, R, [I, I])$ By  $0 \equiv N \subseteq \langle W^{i+1} \rangle$  by Lemmas 5,7 and 8.

### ON THE CENTER OF FINITELY GENERATED LOCALLY (-1,1) RINGS

**LEMMA 10:** If *R* has n generators, then  $T_1^{2n+2} = 0$ .

**PROOF**: Let  $I_0 = R$  and define inductively  $I_{i+1} = I_i \cdot I_1$ . It is easy to show  $I_i$  is a right ideal for each I and  $(T_1)^I \subseteq I_i$ . By Lemma 8,  $I_{2i} \subseteq \langle W^i \rangle$ . This means  $R(T_1)^{2n+2} \subseteq I_{2n+2} \subseteq \langle W^{n+1} \rangle = 0$ . We have finished the proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 11:** In a finitely generated locally (-1,1) ring  $R, x \in (x(a,b,c)T_R)^c$  implies x = 0.

This means that if *P* is the right ideal generated by x(a,b,c) which has all right multiples of x(a,b,c), but not necessarily x(a,b,c) as *R* might not have an identity, this right ideal is always a proper right ideal, and even if you enlarge it to  $P^c$ , it still is a proper right ideal.

**PROOF**: If  $2^i 3^i x = x(a,b,c)\tau$  for some  $\tau \in T_R$  then  $2^i 3^i x = xT_{(a,b,c)}\tau$  and iterating  $(2^i 3^i)^n x = x(T_{(a,b,c)}\tau)^n = 0$  for suitable index n > 0 as  $T_{(a,b,c)}\tau \in$  the ideal  $T_1$  which is nilpotent. Therefore x = 0.

**LEMMA 12:** Suppose *R* is not necessarily generated. Here also  $x \in (x (a,b,c) T_R)^c$  implies x = 0.

**PROOF**: If  $x \in (x(a,b,c) T_R)^c$  then  $2^i 3^i x = x T_{(a,b,c)} \tau$  for some  $\tau \in T_R$ .  $\tau$  is a combination of sums and products of a finite number of elements of the form  $T_r : r \in R$ . Let  $R^{\#}$  be the subring generated by a, b, c, x and the elements of which  $\tau$  was made. In  $R^{\#} x \in (x(a,b,c)T_{R^{\#}})^c$  so x = 0.

**LEMMA 13:** If *R* has no proper fat right ideals then *R* is associative. **PROOF :** *I* is a fat right ideal (actually, a fat two-sided). Thus (i) I = 0 and *R* is associative or (ii) I = R. In this case  $R(R,R,R) \cdot R = 0$  by Lemma 12; so R = 0.

**LEMMA 14:** If *R* has no proper ideals then *R* has no proper fat right ideals.

**PROOF**: Assume *R* has no proper ideals and that *P* is a proper fat right ideal of *R*. If  $z \in P$  then  $(R,R,z) \subseteq P$  since (a,b,z) = (z,b,a) by  $0 \equiv R$ .

We continue by letting  $A_1 = z$ ,  $A_2 = (R, R, A_1)$ ,

$$A_2 = (R, R, A_1),$$
  
 $A_{n+1} = (R, R, A_n)$ 

Let  $A = \bigcup A_i$ . Now  $A \subseteq Z$  and  $A \subseteq P$ ;  $A + AR \subseteq P$  and A + AR is a 2 ideal. Thus A = 0. So  $P \cap Z = 0$ . Now  $[P^2, R] \subseteq Z$  and  $[P^2, R] \subseteq [PR, P] \subseteq P$  by  $0 \equiv G$  and (20); therefore  $[P^2, R] = 0$ . Thus  $p^2 \in P \cap Z$  so  $p^2 = 0$ . Furthermore  $(R, P, P) \subseteq (P, R, R) = 0$ ; so  $RP \cdot P = 0$ . Let  $P_1 = P + RP + (R, R, P)$ .  $P_1$  is a right ideal since  $(R, R, P)R \subseteq (R, R, R)P + (R, RR, P) + (R, PR, R)$  by  $0 \equiv D \subseteq RP + (R, R, P) \subseteq P_1$ . We will show  $P_1^c \neq R \cdot P_1P \subseteq P^2 + (RP) + (R, R, P)P$ 

$$\subseteq 0 + 0 + (R,R,P)R + (R,R,P^2) + (R,P,RP) \text{ by } 0 \equiv D$$
$$\subseteq (R,P,RP) \subseteq (R,P,PR) + (R,P,[R,P]) \subseteq (P,R,[R,P])$$
$$\subseteq P \text{ by (i) and } 0 \equiv Q.$$

Now  $P_1^c P^c \subseteq (P_1 P)^c \subseteq P$ . If  $P_1^c = R$  then  $RP \subseteq P$  and P is a two-sided, impossible. Thus  $P_1^c \neq R$ . Let us repeat this construction.

$$P_{1} = (P + RP + (R,R,P))^{c},$$
  

$$P_{2} = (P_{1} + RP_{1} + (R,R,P_{1}))^{c},$$
  

$$P_{3} = (P_{n} + RP_{n} + (R,R,P_{n}))^{c}.$$

 $P_i \neq R$  for all *I*, so  $P_i^2 = 0$ . Since  $\cup P_i$  is a two-sided, we have  $R^2 = 0$ ; this means  $RP \subseteq P$ . Therefore *P* is a two-ideal, contradiction.

**THEOREM 2:** If *R* is a simple locally (-1,1) ring then is an associative field.

**PROOF**: If *R* has no proper ideals, by Lemma 14 *R* has no proper fat right ideals and by Lemma 13 *R* is associative. The center of *R* is 0 or a field.  $[R,R] \subseteq$  center. This implies  $[x,y]^3 = 0$ ; hence [x,y] = 0. *R* must be commutative. A simple associative commutative ring is a field. So *R* is a field.

## REFERENCES

1. Hentzel.I.R. and Smith.H.F, "Simple locally (-1,1) nil rings", Journal of algebra 262- 272.Vol.101.No.1.June 1986.

- 2. Kleinfeld.E, "A Generalization of strongly (-1,1) rings", Journal of algebra 119, 218-225(1988).
- 3. Suvarna.K and Jayalakshmi.K, "A Result on prime (-1,1) rings", proceedings of international conference on advances in mathematical and computational methods (AMCM-2011),Vol.1, 44-46.
- 4. Subhashini.K, "Simplicity on Accessible and (-1,1) rings", Int.J. Contemp.Math.Sciences, Vol.7,2012,no.48,2377-2381.
- 5. Subhashini.K, "Simple (1,0) rings", International Mathematical Forum, Vol.7, 2012, no.48, 2377-2381.